

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT****Routing Slip**

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDC				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/EE0				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/OEA	X			
16	C/PAD/OEA				
17	SA/IA				
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPD/OIS				
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		8 June 1983 Date			

Remarks:

Per SA/DCI please prepare response  
for DCI's signature.

Executive Secretary  
3 June 1983  
Date

3637 (10-81)

STAT


0-310

MEMORANDUM FOR:

SA 1De1



This is one I  
think the Director  
would want to handle  
himself.




5/19/82

Date

STAT

STAT

*Spec Secy 35m*



STAT

*Let's have  
OE Answered to this  
This*



STAT

BARRY GOLDWATER  
ARIZONA

## United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

## COMMITTEES:

INTELLIGENCE, CHAIRMAN  
 ARMED SERVICES  
 TACTICAL WARFARE, CHAIRMAN  
 PREPAREDNESS  
 STRATEGIC AND THEATRE NUCLEAR FORCES  
 COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION  
 COMMUNICATIONS, CHAIRMAN  
 AVIATION  
 SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND SPACE  
 INDIAN AFFAIRS

May 16, 1983

The Honorable William Casey  
 Director  
 Central Intelligence Agency  
 Washington, D. C. 20520

Dear Bill:

After [redacted] returned my letter to her, a copy of which I sent you, and asked me to strengthen it here and there, which I did, and it never appeared in the paper.

STAT


I wrote her the enclosed letter today, inquiring why. I did it in a very friendly way. I think you'll agree. If you are on a friendly basis with her, and she's an extremely friendly woman, it might not hurt for you to ask her the same question. I don't like to assume or make charges where they're not warranted, but I don't believe the Washington Post has shown any interest in doing anything but heap criticism on the intelligence family without even making suggestions or printing articles in the intelligence family's defense.

I pray that I'm wrong in this because it would pretty close to disastrous to have both The New York Times and The Washington Post opposed to the improvement, or even the existence of intelligence gathering in this country. But, the fact remains.

I'd like to hear from you about this.

Sincerely,

*Barry*  
 Barry Goldwater



P310